IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ROCK HILL DIVISION

) Case No.: 0:14-cv-00865-1LW
)))
,)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
_)

ORDER

This matter is now before the Court on Plaintiff's uncaptioned filing docketed as a motion for reconsideration. (ECF No. 69). Plaintiff filed this § 1983 action on March 12, 2014, asserting he was arrested without probable cause and in violation of his constitutional rights. (ECF No. 1). After carefully review of the record and relevant authority, this Court accepted the Magistrate Judge's recommendation and dismissed the Plaintiff's Complaint on June 2, 2014, for failure to meet the pleading requirements of Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (ECF No. 30). Furthermore, the Court found that even if subject matter jurisdiction existed, dismissal was still appropriate for a number of defendants because the Plaintiff's complaint

0:14-cv-00865-TLW Date Filed 06/03/15 Entry Number 70 Page 2 of 2

contained legally frivolous allegations. (Id.). Plaintiff appealed the Court's ruling to the Fourth

Circuit Court of Appeals, which summarily dismissed the appeal. (ECF No. 67).

The Court has carefully reviewed the motion, the record, and relevant authority, and finds

that no legally sufficient basis exists to alter or amend the Court's June 2, 2014 Order. In

particular, the Plaintiff fails to show any intervening change in controlling law, account for any

new evidence, or show clear error of law or manifest injustice. For these reasons, Plaintiff's

motion for reconsideration is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L. Wooten

June 3, 2015 Columbia, South Carolina Chief United States District Judge

2